

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria. Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/801,183	10/801,183 03/17/2004		Joseph Buttigicg	01020US	1635
26880	7590	03/06/2006	·	EXAM	INER
MILACRO 2090 FLORI		E.	LUK, EMM	IANUEL S	
CINCINNATI, OH 45206			•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,			1722	

DATE MAILED: 03/06/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/801,183	BUTTIGIEG, JOSEPH	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Emmanuel S. Luk	1722	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- tiod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON titute, cause the application to become ABA	CATION. uply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23	3 February 2006.		
·	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	wance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex par</i> te <i>Quayl</i> e, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 4-6</u> is/are pending in the app	olication.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	Irawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 4</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) <u>5 and 6</u> is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a	ccepted or b) objected to b	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	*	, <i>,</i>	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	·		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	ign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in Ap	pplication No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the property of the prope	riority documents have been	received in this National Stage	
application from the International Bure	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a l	ist of the certified copies not r	received.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s	/Mail Date	
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	08) 5) Notice of In 6) Other:	formal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/801,183

Art Unit: 1722

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

- 2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The claim contains a 'headless' pin, the definition of a head for a pin is one of the ends. The head defines one of the ends of the pin, the non-tapered end. The specification does not teach a headless pin, rather the drawings show a pin that does not have any additional protrusion that extends beyond the cross-section of the main body of said pin.
- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The claim fails to particularly point out a 'headless' pin. The dictionary definition of a 'head' still reads upon one of the ends of the pin and it is unclear to how a 'headless' pin can be determined.

Application/Control Number: 10/801,183 Page 3

Art Unit: 1722

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 3. Claims 1 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP (06-8286).

JP teaches the claimed invention with the first mold component (27), second mold component (25), slide member (23), actuating pin (38), the slide member supported by the first mold component and having an opening, the actuating pin engages the opening of the slide member and is retained by means (36) that is accessible from the parting line face of the second mold component, the actuating pin is at an angle oblique to the second mold component parting line face (Fig. 1-5). JP also teaches a peripheral land at one end of the pin (Fig. 1) that is equivalent to the notch for engaging a 'key'. The key being formed by the retaining block (36) that is held by a

Art Unit: 1722

fastener (33) that engages the parting line face of the second mold component (Fig. 1). The indentation of the to accommodate the retaining block in Figure 1 is the equivalent for the claimed relief in the parting line face of the second mold component and in the facing surface of the slide.

The retaining block and peripheral land are an equivalent function with a notch and a key for engaging the notch in the actuating pin. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to modify JP with a notch in the periphery of the actuating pin wherein the key can engage the notch and hold the pin in place.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claims 5 and 6 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 5. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art of record, JP 06-8286, fails to teach notch being aligned with either line of intersection of the parting line face with the longitudinal axis of the actuating pin or that the notch is aligned with a perpendicular to the longitudinal axis of the actuating pin and the relief is made to accommodate the inclination of the key to the parting line face of the mating mold component. The closest prior art being JP 06-8286 that does fasten an actuating pin to the parting line face of the second mold component.

Art Unit: 1722

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 4 have been considered but are not persuasive. The arguments by the applicant's draw upon the headless pin in the newly amended claims. However, there is a problem with respect to the definition of 'headless' in the invention and thus, the arguments presented by the applicants are moot. The pin in JP '286 is still viewed as functionally equivalent to the notch and key via the seating of the shoulders of the pin. The attempt by the applicant to overcome the JP '286 is noted, however the use of the term 'headless' does not overcome the current rejection.

Conclusion

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/801,183

Art Unit: 1722

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Emmanuel S. Luk whose telephone number is (571) 272-1134. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 8 to 5 and alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duane Smith can be reached on (571) 272-1166. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

EL

DUANE SMITH PRIMARY, EXAMINE

3-7-176

Page 6